

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

**PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**NEC CORPORATION OF AMERICA, INC.**

**Defendant.**

**CASE NO. 6:11-CV-655  
PATENT CASE**

---

**PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**GOOGLE INC. AND YOUTUBE, LLC**

**Defendants.**

**CASE NO. 6:11-CV-656  
PATENT CASE**

---

**PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**NETAPP, INC.**

**Defendant.**

**CASE NO. 6:11-CV-657  
PATENT CASE**

---

---

**PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**AMAZON.COM, INC.; AMAZON WEB  
SERVICES LLC; AND DROPBOX, INC.**

**CASE NO. 6:11-CV-658  
PATENT CASE**

---

**Defendants.**

**PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**EMC CORPORATION, AND  
VMWARE, INC.**

**CASE NO. 6:11-CV-660  
PATENT CASE**

---

**Defendants.**

**PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**AUTONOMY, INC.**

**CASE NO. 6:11-CV-683  
PATENT CASE**

---

**Defendant.**

---

**PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**YAHOO! INC.**

**Defendant.**

**CASE NO. 6:12-CV-658  
PATENT CASE**

---

**PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**APPLE INC.**

**Defendant.**

**CASE NO. 6:12-CV-660  
PATENT CASE**

---

**PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**FACEBOOK, INC.**

**Defendant.**

**CASE NO. 6:12-CV-662  
PATENT CASE**

---

**PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**MICROSOFT CORP.**

**Defendant.**

**CASE NO. 6:12-CV-663  
PATENT CASE**

---

**ORDER**

The Court has reviewed the parties' P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement (Docket No. 81). There are 45 terms in dispute. Forty five terms would be unmanageable for both the Court and the parties. Given the Court's page restrictions, this would equate to two thirds of a page for each term. The Court **ORDERS** the parties to meet and confer to reduce the number of disputed terms to a reasonable number. The Court further **ORDERS** the parties to file an amended P.R. 4-3 Statement within seven days. The Court also reminds the parties that the page limits governing dispositive motions pursuant to Local Rule CV-7(a) apply to claim construction briefing and will not be extended absent a showing of good cause.

**So ORDERED and SIGNED this 7th day of May, 2013.**



LEONARD DAVIS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE